Discussion: Counterparty risk session

Areski Cousin ISFA, Université Lyon 1

3rd Financial Risks International Forum

Paris, 25 March 2010



글 🖒 🛛 글

Specific characteristics of counterparty risk

- Counterparty Risk is the risk that the counterparty to a financial contract will default prior to the expiration of the contract and will not make all the payments required by the contract
- Similar to credit risk : the cause of losses is due to the default of an economic agent
- But several specific features :
 - Uncertain exposure when counterparty defaults
 - Bilateral nature of credit risk
 - Wrong-way risk : losses due to wrong-way movement of the contract's mark-to-market price at the time when counterparty defaults
 - Simultaneous default risk (CDS) : losses due to unexpected simultaneous defaults of both the counterparty and the reference entity
 - Concentration risk, Circularity nature of counterparty risk, Liquidity risk, Systemic risk
- Risk management of counterparty risk is very topical, especially given the surge of failures in the financial sector during the crisis

< E >

э

Several issues are associated with the risk management of counterparty risk

- Risk management of counterparty risk can be considered at different scales (contract level, counterparty level, trading-book level)
 - Bilateral Netting agreement such as the industry standard ISDA Master agreement

$$E^{Net}(\tau) = \max\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} MtM_i(\tau), 0\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left(MtM_i(\tau), 0\right) = E^{NoNet}(\tau)$$

- Margin/collateral agreement (as for example described in the Credit Support Annex of the ISDA Master agreement), Design of the collateral account's mechanism
- Unilateral vs Bilateral counterparty risk
- Modeling credit exposure

물 > - + 물 > - -

Modeling credit exposure

- Probabilistic description of default times, recovery rates and information sets
- Several measures of counterparty risk :
 - Market-value of the contract accounting for the potential default of the counterparty
 - CVA (Credit Value Adjustment) : market value of counterparty risk
 - EPE (Expected Positive Exposure at default)
 - effEPE (Effective EPE)
- All these quantities strongly relies on the assumption made about the contractual nature/characteristics of counterparty risk such as netting agreement/no netting agrement, collateral agreement/no collateral agreement or unilateral /bilateral (asymetric/symetric prices)

Survey papers - General aspects of counterparty risk

- Canabarro and Duffie, 2003, Measuring and Marking Counterparty Risk
- Pykhtin and Zhu, 2007, A Guide to Modelling Counterparty Credit Risk
- Pykhtin and Zhu, 2007, Measuring Counterparty Credit Risk for Trading Products under Basel II
- Gregory and Kelly, 2009, The Evolution of Counterparty Credit Risk an Insider's View
- European Central Bank, 2009, Credit Default Swaps and Counterparty Risk

∃ → (∃ →)

General pricing formula : Unilateral Counterparty Risk

- Brigo and Masetti, 2005, *Risk Neutral Pricing of Counterparty Risk* : Modeling of counterparty risk for different OTC derivatives, computation of a general counterparty-risk pricing formula (i.e., formula for CVA)
- Brigo and Chourdakis, 2008, *Counterparty Risk for Credit Default Swaps* : Unilateral CVA for counterparty risk of CDS in an intensity model with default correlation (wrong-way risk)
- Yi, 2010, *Dangerous Knowledge : Credit Value Adjustment with Credit Triggers* : General CVA formula when the investor has the possibility to terminate the contract as the counterparty hits a pre-specified credit trigger

물 > - + 물 > - -

General pricing formula : Unilateral Counterparty Risk

- Li, 2009, Double Impact on CVA for CDS : Wrong-Way Risk with Stochastic Recovery : Computation of unilateral CVA accounting for a Gaussian copula dependence structure among defaults and stochastic recoveries
- Crépey, Jeanblanc and Zargari, 2009, Counterparty Risk on a CDS in a Markov Chain Copula Model with Joint Defaults : Unilateral CVA for counterparty risk of CDS in a Markov Copula Model accounting for simultaneous defaults with no specific spread risk
- Crépey, Jeanblanc and Zargari, 2009, *Counterparty Risk on a CDS with Joint Defaults and Stochastic Spreads* : Extension of the latter paper to the case of stochastic credit spreads

General pricing formula : Bilateral Counterparty Risk

- Brigo and Capponi, 2009, Bilateral Counterparty Risk Valuation with Stochastic Dynamical Models and Application to Credit Default Swaps : Extension of Brigo and Chourdakis (2008) to the case of bilateral CVA
- Gregory, 2009, Being Two-Faced Over Counterparty Credit Risk : Discussion on the problems that can be raised when considering bilateral CVA
- Assefa, Bielecki, Crépey, Jeanblanc, 2009, CVA Computation for Counterparty Risk Assessment in Credit Portfolio: General pricing formula for bilateral/unilateral CVA, counterparty-level, with possibly netting agreement and collateralization scheme. Application to bilateral CVA for CDS and Unilateral CVA associated with a portfolio of CDS with the same counterparty in a multivariate Markov-copula model where dependence amongst defaults stems from the possibility of simultaneous defaults

Structural approaches for modeling counterparty risk

- Redon, 2006, *Wrong-Way Risk Modelling* : country-risk due to currency depreciation in a Merton-like model of default risk
- Blanchet-Scaillet and Patras, 2008, Counterparty Risk Valuation for CDS : Explicit formula for counterparty risk of CDS and first-to-default swaps in a bivariate Black-Cox structural model with correlated Brownian motions
- Lipton and Sepp, 2009, Credit Value Adjustment for Credit Default Swaps via the Structural Default model : Original method for computation of CVA for CDS in a jump-diffusion multivariate structural model with correlated Brownian motions

< ∃ >

General comments on the presented papers

- The two papers are carefully written, in quite compact style however.
- They both contain a very rigorous theoretical part on the computation of CVA in different contexts
- In the two papers, the authors subsequently specify a default-time model based on the promising Markov-copula approach developed by Bielecki, Vidozzi and Vidozzi (2008) and further investigated by Bielecki, Cousin, Crépey, Herbertsson (2010)
- Then, in both papers, thorough numerical experiments illustrate the practicability of the approaches
- These two papers clearly constitute additional research contributions in the field of counterparty risk modeling

< ∃ >

Comments on the first paper - Crépey, Jeanblanc and Zancari (2009)

- Paper focuses on unilateral counterparty risk for Credit Default Swaps
- Extension of Crépey, Jeanblanc and Zargari (2009) to the case of stochastic credit spreads
- Dependence between default of the counterpart and the reference entity stems from the possibility of simultaneous defaults and the existence of a common factor governing credit spreads.
- Default indicators form a bivariate Markov-chain copula
- Explicit formula provided for risky CDS price, CVA and EPE
- Two Specifications of an affine CIR intensity model for the dynamics of credit spreads
 - Analytical formulas for prices of risk-free CDS
 - Discussion on the calibration of model parameters
 - Numerical results given for three different specifications of default intensities
- Conclusion : in most cases, the effect of dynamic CDS spreads on CVA is insignificant compared to the effect of potential simultaneous defaults

化压力 化压力

Questions/Comments on the first paper

- Analytical formula for prices of risk-free CDS : Is it possible to derive equivalent formulas for risky CDS/CVA/EPE?
- p.12 : Give more intuition for the condition $f_i(t) \ge l_3(t)$ to be satisfied (single-name intensities must be larger than the joint-default intensity)
- Remark 5.1 p.12 Parameter of the CIR process not restricted to the inaccessible origin case. Is it not dangerous in practice for the condition $f_i(t) \ge l_3(t)$ to be satisfied ?
- Formula (19) p.13 : Be aware that p_{1,2}(t) may not be a bivariate distribution function for all t if the correlation ρ(t) is a function of time.

프 (프)

Comments on the second paper - Assefa, Bielecki, Crépey, Jeanblanc (2009)

- Computation of a very general model-free pricing formula for CVA associated with generic OTC derivatives including
 - unilateral/bilateral approaches
 - counterparty-level, with possibly netting agreement
 - possibly collateral agreement (two particular case investigated : no collateral/extreme collateral)
- Model-free CVA detailed for two applications :
 - Bilateral CVA for Credit Default Swaps with a collateral agreement
 - Unilateral CVA for Portfolio of Credit Default Swaps, counterparty-level with a netting agreement and a collateral agreement
- Default times described as in a multivariate Markov-copula model : dependence stems from the possibility of simultaneous defaults. Specific individual spread dynamics are also considered

(目) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

Comments on the second paper - Assefa, Bielecki, Crépey, Jeanblanc (2009)

- Numerical results given for univariate CVA for a portfolio of 100 CDS with netting/no netting, collateral/no collateral agreement
- The CDS portfolio is divided in three groups with ranked risk level. Names in each group may default simultaneously.
- Conclusion :
 - Netting significantly reduces CVA
 - The collateral agreement has a small effect to CVA compared to the simultaneous default effect
 - The spread dynamics effect has a small impact on CVA compared to the simultaneous default effect

Questions/Comments on the second paper

- p.8 Formula (4) : Give a name to the random variable $\chi_(au)$
- p.11 Formula (18) : Give more intuition (or a reference) on the choice of this margin process
- Extreme collateralization : I would say full collateralization
- p.15 Formula (31) : What happens for ξ_{τ}^0 , the PFED (Potential Future Exposure at Default) with no collaterization from the receiver-CDS point of view ?
- Section 3.2.1 p.15 : It should be interested to compute at least numerically the fair spread of a risky-CDS (risk-free CDS adjusted for counterparty credit risk)

3 + 4 = +